

Beyond GDP: Reimagining Economic Growth for a Sustainable Future in the Digital Age

Orlando Olumide Odejide¹ and Olaoluwa Oladimeji Agboola²

- Lead Researcher:

Dr Orlando Olumide Odejide¹

Orlando@a4sonline.com

+234 8092061793

- Research Assistant:

Olaoluwa Agboola²

Olaoluwa.agboola@a4sonline.com.ng

+234 815 700 8210

- Company Information

Name: Audit, Advisory, Assurance and Assessment Services (A4S) Limited

- info@a4sonline.com

- +234 805 233 3452

- www.a4sonline.com

- 2 Bamishile Street, off Allen Avenue, Ikeja, Lagos, Nigeria.

*Corresponding author's email: olaoluwa.agboola@a4sonline.ng

Abstract

This comprehensive study explores the intricate relationship between decent work and economic growth within the Sustainable Development Goal 8 (SDG 8) framework. It delves into the evolving nature of work in the digital age, examining how technological advancements, environmental challenges, and global economic shifts reshape labour markets and economic paradigms. The analysis critically evaluates traditional metrics of economic success, proposing innovative approaches to measuring progress that encompasses human well-being and environmental sustainability. Through a series of global case studies from Rwanda to Singapore, the document illuminates diverse strategies for achieving inclusive growth and quality employment. It confronts controversial topics such as Universal Basic Income and the four-day workweek, challenging conventional wisdom and exploring their potential to foster decent work and sustainable economic development. By synthesizing cutting-edge research with practical policy recommendations, this study offers a visionary roadmap for policymakers, business leaders, and individuals navigating the complexities of SDG 8. It ultimately argues for a transformative approach that harmonizes economic growth with social justice and environmental stewardship, presenting urgent challenges and unprecedented opportunities in creating a more equitable and sustainable global economy.

Keywords: *Sustainable Development Goal 8, Decent Work, Inclusive Economic Growth, Future of Employment, Sustainable Economic Metrics*

1.0. Introduction

The concept of "decent work" in the 21st century has evolved beyond mere employment to encompass dignity, fairness, and fulfilment in the workplace (ILO, 2023). Simultaneously, "economic growth" is being redefined to prioritize sustainability, inclusivity, and human well-being over raw GDP figures (Stiglitz et al., 2018). This paradigm shift challenges policymakers and businesses to reconcile these two pillars of SDG 8, which often seem at odds in our rapidly changing global economy. The gig economy, automation, and artificial intelligence are reshaping labour markets, creating opportunities and exacerbating inequalities (World Economic Forum, 2020). As we grapple with these changes, the paradox of growth without decent work becomes increasingly apparent, demanding innovative solutions that transcend traditional economic models.

The urgency to address this paradox is underscored by the widening gap between productivity gains and wage growth and the persistence of informal and precarious work in both developing and developed economies (OECD, 2022). Climate change and resource depletion further complicate the equation, necessitating a fundamental reimagining of how we measure progress and distribute the fruits of economic advancement (Raworth, 2017). To achieve SDG 8, we must challenge the assumption that economic growth automatically leads to better jobs and explore alternative frameworks such as the circular economy, universal basic income, and stakeholder capitalism (Mazzucato, 2021). These approaches offer promising avenues for aligning economic incentives with social and environmental goals. Still, their implementation requires bold leadership and a willingness to experiment with new governance and business models.

2.0. Objective

The primary objective of this document is to provide a comprehensive analysis of the challenges and opportunities in achieving Sustainable Development Goal 8 (decent work and economic growth) in the context of rapid technological change, environmental constraints, and global economic shifts. It aims to explore innovative approaches, policy frameworks, and business models that can foster inclusive and sustainable economic growth while ensuring decent work for all. The document challenges conventional economic paradigms and offers forward-thinking solutions that balance human well-being, technological advancement, and environmental sustainability.

3.0. Methodology

The methodology employed in this document involves a multidisciplinary approach, combining literature review, case study analysis, and synthesis of current research and policy initiatives. It draws upon academic publications, reports from international organizations, policy documents, and real-world examples to provide a comprehensive overview. The analysis integrates insights

from economics, sociology, environmental science, and technology studies to offer a holistic perspective on the challenges and opportunities related to SDG 8. This approach allows for the exploration of complex interconnections between various aspects of decent work and economic growth, enabling the identification of innovative solutions and future directions for research and policy implementation.

4.0. Discussion

The Evolution of Work in the Modern Era

The evolution of work from the Industrial Revolution to today's digital era represents a seismic shift in how we conceptualize labour, productivity, and human value (Schwab, 2016). While the industrial revolution mechanized production and urbanized societies, the digital transformation blurs the lines between physical, digital, and biological spheres, fundamentally altering the nature of work. This transition has given rise to the gig economy, a double-edged sword offering unprecedented flexibility and entrepreneurial opportunities while eroding traditional labour protections and job security (Kässi and Lehdonvirta, 2018). The gig economy's rapid growth challenges our conventional understanding of employment relationships and social safety nets, forcing a reevaluation of what constitutes "decent work" in an increasingly digitalized and globalized economy (De Stefano, 2016). As we navigate this new landscape, the question remains: can we harness the potential of the gig economy to create more inclusive and equitable work opportunities, or will it exacerbate existing inequalities and precarity?

Automation and artificial intelligence (AI) represent both a potential threat to job security and a catalyst for reimagining work in the 21st century (Acemoglu and Restrepo, 2019). While fears of widespread technological unemployment persist, history suggests that technological advancements often create new jobs even as they displace others (Autor, 2015). The critical challenge is ensuring this transition is just and inclusive, with workers equipped to adapt to rapidly changing skill requirements. This necessitates a paradigm shift in education and lifelong learning, moving beyond traditional models to embrace more flexible, skills-based approaches (World Economic Forum, 2020). Moreover, as AI and automation take over routine tasks, there's an opportunity to redefine work around uniquely human capabilities such as creativity, empathy, and complex problem-solving (Brynjolfsson and McAfee, 2014). The ultimate impact of these technologies on decent work will depend on our ability to shape their development and deployment in alignment with societal values and the principles of SDG 8.

Deconstructing Economic Growth

The traditional focus on GDP as the primary measure of economic success has increasingly been scrutinized, with critics arguing that it fails to capture crucial aspects of societal well-being and environmental sustainability (Stiglitz et al., 2018). Alternative metrics such as the Genuine Progress Indicator (GPI), the Human Development Index (HDI), and the OECD's Better Life Index

offer more holistic approaches to measuring economic well-being, incorporating factors like income inequality, environmental health, and quality of life (Costanza et al., 2014). These metrics challenge us to reconsider what constitutes progress and success in our economies. Furthermore, the concept of "doughnut economics" proposed by Kate Raworth (2017) provides a compelling framework for balancing social needs with planetary boundaries, suggesting that true prosperity lies in meeting the needs of all within the means of the planet. As we strive to achieve SDG 8, we must move beyond narrow definitions of growth and embrace more nuanced and comprehensive measures that align with the broader goals of sustainable development.

The limits of growth, as first highlighted by the Club of Rome in 1972, have become increasingly apparent in the face of accelerating climate change, biodiversity loss, and resource depletion (Meadows et al., 2004). These environmental constraints, rising social inequality, and political instability underscore the urgent need to redefine our economic models. The circular economy concept offers a promising alternative, reimagining economic activity as a regenerative and restorative process that decouples growth from resource consumption (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2019). By designing out waste and pollution, keeping products and materials in use, and regenerating natural systems, the circular economy model presents a radical shift in how we conceptualize production, consumption, and growth. However, transitioning to a genuinely circular economy requires fundamental changes in business models, consumer behaviour, and policy frameworks. It challenges us to think creatively about creating value and prosperity while operating within planetary boundaries, potentially revolutionizing our approach to achieving sustainable economic growth and decent work for all (Geissdoerfer et al., 2017).

Table 1.0: OECD Better Life Index (OECD, 2020).

Rank	Country
1	Norway
2	Australia
3	Iceland
4	Canada
5	Denmark
6	Switzerland
7	Finland
8	Netherlands
9	Sweden
10	United States
14	United Kingdom
15	Germany
20	Slovenia
25	Japan
30	South Korea
35	Brazil
40	South Africa

The Intersection of Decent Work and Economic Growth

The intersection of decent work and economic growth represents a complex, dynamic relationship at the heart of sustainable development. When properly aligned, decent work can be a powerful engine for sustainable economic growth, fostering innovation, productivity, and social stability (ILO, 2019). By ensuring fair wages, safe working conditions, and opportunities for skill development, decent work empowers individuals to participate fully in the economy, driving consumer demand and spurring investment. Moreover, it contributes to social cohesion and political stability, creating an environment conducive to long-term economic prosperity (Dreger, 2016). However, this virtuous cycle is not guaranteed, and the pursuit of economic growth can sometimes undermine decent work, particularly in the absence of strong labour protections and inclusive policies. The rise of precarious employment, wage stagnation in the face of productivity gains, and the erosion of workers' rights in some rapidly growing economies highlight the potential for growth at the expense of decent work (Standing, 2011). This tension underscores the need for a nuanced, context-specific approach to implementing SDG 8, one that recognizes the interdependence of economic and social progress while remaining vigilant against the potential pitfalls of unbalanced growth.

Examining case studies of countries that have successfully balanced growth and decent work offers valuable insights into practical strategies for achieving SDG 8. The Nordic model, exemplified by countries like Sweden and Denmark, demonstrates how solid social protections, active labour market policies, and social dialogue can foster economic dynamism and high standards of decent work (Andersen et al., 2007). Similarly, Costa Rica's long-term investment in education, healthcare, and environmental protection alongside economic diversification has resulted in relatively inclusive growth and improved working conditions (OECD, 2020). Conversely, countries' experiences prioritizing rapid growth over decent work, such as specific periods in China's recent history, highlight this approach's social and environmental costs and the eventual need for rebalancing (Friedman and Kuruvilla, 2015). These diverse examples challenge us to think creatively about how different economic models and policy frameworks can be adapted to local contexts to promote decent work and sustainable growth. As we strive to achieve SDG 8 globally, fostering international cooperation and knowledge sharing is crucial, enabling countries to learn from each other's successes and failures in navigating the complex interplay between decent work and economic growth.

Decent Work in the Digital Age

The digital age has ushered in a new era of work, with remote work emerging as a transformative force that holds the potential to both democratize opportunity and exacerbate existing inequalities. On one hand, remote work has opened up global job markets, allowing skilled workers from developing countries to access high-paying jobs in developed economies, potentially reducing brain drain and fostering economic growth in their home countries (Aksoy et al., 2022). It has also provided flexibility for workers with caregiving responsibilities and those living in rural areas, -

potentially increasing labour force participation. However, the rapid shift to remote work has also highlighted and sometimes deepened digital divides, with those lacking reliable internet access or digital skills left behind (Beaunoyer et al., 2020). Moreover, the blurring of work-life boundaries and the potential for digital surveillance raise new challenges for worker well-being and privacy. As we strive to achieve SDG 8, it is crucial to develop policies and practices that harness the benefits of remote work while mitigating its potential to exacerbate inequalities, ensuring that this new frontier of work aligns with the principles of decent work for all.

The digital skills gap represents a critical challenge in the quest for decent work in the 21st century, with the rapid pace of technological change creating a mismatch between the skills workers possess and those demanded by the labour market. This gap hinders individual career prospects and constrains economic growth and innovation (World Economic Forum, 2020). Addressing this challenge requires fundamentally rethinking education and training systems and moving towards more agile, lifelong learning models that can keep pace with technological change. However, the solution goes beyond mere skill acquisition; it necessitates a broader reimagining of work in the digital age. Platform cooperatives, for instance, offer an innovative approach to decent work in the digital economy by combining the efficiency of digital platforms with the principles of worker ownership and democratic governance (Scholz, 2016). This model challenges the dominant paradigm of platform capitalism, offering a vision of digital enterprises that prioritize worker well-being and community benefit over shareholder value. As we navigate the complexities of decent work in the digital age, it is essential to explore and support such alternative models that have the potential to create more equitable and sustainable forms of work. By fostering digital innovation that aligns with the principles of decent work, we can work towards a future where technological progress translates into improved livelihoods and well-being for all workers.

Rethinking Economic Growth Models

Pursuing sustainable development goals, particularly SDG 8, demands radically rethinking our economic growth models. Kate Raworth's "Doughnut Economics" offers a compelling framework that balances social needs with planetary boundaries, challenging the conventional focus on GDP growth (Raworth, 2017). This model visualizes a safe and just space for humanity between a social foundation of well-being and an ecological ceiling of planetary limits. By reframing economic success in terms of meeting human needs within environmental constraints, Doughnut Economics provides a holistic approach to achieving sustainable and inclusive prosperity. However, implementing this model requires a fundamental shift in policy-making, business practices, and societal values. It calls for innovative economic indicators that measure progress towards social and environmental goals rather than just financial metrics. The challenge lies in translating this conceptual framework into practical policies and actions that can drive real-world change while navigating our globalised world's complex political and economic realities (O'Neill et al., 2018). At the same time, the degrowth movement presents an even more radical challenge to conventional economic thinking by questioning the necessity of economic growth itself (Kallis et al., 2018).

Proponents argue that continuous growth is not only environmentally unsustainable but also fails to improve the quality of life beyond a certain point in developed economies. This perspective calls for a planned reduction in resource use and energy consumption to bring economic activities within ecological limits while simultaneously improving human well-being through redistribution, reduced working hours, and focusing on non-material sources of fulfilment. Critics, however, argue that degrowth could lead to economic instability and job losses. Inclusive growth attempts to bridge these divergent views by focusing on the quality and distribution of economic growth rather than just its quantity (OECD, 2018). This approach emphasizes creating economic opportunities for all segments of society, particularly marginalized groups, and ensuring that the benefits of growth are widely shared. Implementing inclusive growth policies requires addressing structural inequalities, investing in human capital, and fostering innovation in sectors that create quality jobs. As we strive to achieve SDG 8, these alternative economic models challenge us to think creatively about how we can redefine progress, measure success, and create economic systems that genuinely serve the needs of both people and the planet.

The Future of Labor Rights

The future of labour rights in the context of SDG 8 demands innovative approaches to address the challenges posed by technological disruption, globalization, and changing work paradigms. Universal Basic Income (UBI) has emerged as a controversial yet potentially transformative solution to economic insecurity and inequality (Standing, 2017). Proponents argue that UBI could provide a safety net that enables workers to pursue meaningful work, education, or entrepreneurship without fear of destitution, potentially fostering innovation and economic growth. Critics contend that UBI might disincentivize work and prove fiscally unsustainable (Hoynes and Rothstein, 2019). The debate surrounding UBI underscores the need to reimagine social protection systems in light of automation and the gig economy. Simultaneously, the globalization of supply chains has complicated traditional collective bargaining processes, necessitating new forms of transnational labour solidarity and governance (Anner, 2020). Innovative approaches such as global framework agreements between multinational corporations and international union federations offer promising avenues for protecting workers' rights across borders. However, their effectiveness depends on robust enforcement mechanisms and the ability to adapt to rapidly changing economic landscapes.

The right to disconnect has emerged as a critical issue in the digital age, highlighting the need to redefine work-life balance as a fundamental human right (Secunda, 2019). As technology blurs the boundaries between work and personal life, many workers face increased stress, burnout, and mental health challenges. Recognizing the right to disconnect promotes worker well-being and challenges the culture of constant availability that has become pervasive in many industries. Countries like France and Spain have taken legislative steps to protect this right, but global implementation remains uneven (Eurofound, 2020). The challenge lies in balancing the flexibility offered by digital technologies with the need for clear boundaries and rest periods. As we envision

the future of labour rights, we must consider how these emerging concepts intersect with broader trends such as the platform economy, remote work, and the green transition. Achieving SDG 8 requires a holistic approach that addresses immediate labour rights concerns and anticipates future challenges and opportunities. This might involve developing new metrics for decent work incorporating digital well-being, lifelong learning opportunities, and environmental sustainability. By pushing the boundaries of conceptualising and protecting labour rights, we can work towards a future where economic growth and decent work are mutually reinforcing, creating a more just and sustainable world for all.

Innovation for Inclusive Economic Growth

The pursuit of inclusive economic growth has spawned diverse, innovative approaches, each offering unique pathways to achieving SDG 8. Frugal innovation, characterized by its focus on simplicity and affordability, has emerged as a powerful tool for addressing the needs of underserved populations while promoting resource efficiency (Radjou and Prabhu, 2015). This approach is complemented by reverse innovation, where solutions developed for emerging markets find applications in developed economies, challenging traditional innovation paradigms (Govindarajan and Trimble, 2012). Social entrepreneurship bridges the gap between profit and purpose, creating business models that align economic success with positive societal impact (Dacin et al., 2011). The rise of impact investing provides crucial financial support for these ventures, directing capital towards enterprises that generate measurable social and environmental returns alongside financial ones (Bugg-Levine and Emerson, 2011). Blockchain technology can enhance transparency and fairness in global trade, potentially addressing persistent inequities in international commerce (Saber et al., 2019). Meanwhile, the sharing economy, exemplified by platforms like Airbnb and BlaBlaCar, redefines resource utilisation and creates new economic opportunities (Sundararajan, 2016). Lastly, the concept of circular economy is reimagining production and consumption cycles to minimize waste and maximize resource efficiency, offering a holistic approach to sustainable economic growth (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2013).

These innovations, while promising, also present complex challenges and potential pitfalls. For instance, while creating flexible work opportunities, the sharing economy has raised concerns about worker protections and the erosion of traditional employment structures (Schor, 2020). Similarly, while blockchain offers unprecedented transparency, its energy consumption and potential for creating new forms of digital exclusion need to be addressed (De Filippi and Wright, 2018). The key to harnessing these innovations for truly inclusive growth lies in their thoughtful integration and adaptation to local contexts. For example, combining frugal innovation principles with circular economy practices could lead to sustainably designed products that are both affordable and environmentally friendly.

Similarly, leveraging blockchain technology to enhance transparency in fair trade initiatives could amplify the impact of social enterprises working in global supply chains. As we strive to achieve

SDG 8, fostering an ecosystem that supports these diverse innovations is crucial while critically examining their impacts. This requires technological advancements, policy innovations, new forms of cross-sector collaboration, and a fundamental rethinking of measuring economic success. By embracing this multifaceted approach to innovation, we can work towards an inclusive economic growth model that truly leaves no one behind.

Education and Skills for Future Work

The rapidly evolving landscape of work, driven by technological advancements and global challenges, necessitates a fundamental reimagining of education and skill development to achieve SDG 8. Lifelong learning has emerged as a critical paradigm, shifting from a model of front-loaded education to one of continuous skill acquisition and adaptation throughout one's career (OECD, 2020). This shift challenges traditional educational institutions and employers to create more flexible, modular learning pathways to keep pace with technological change. Innovative approaches such as micro-credentials, adaptive learning platforms, and virtual reality-based training are revolutionizing how we acquire and validate skills (Kato et al., 2020). However, the true challenge lies in democratizing access to these learning opportunities, ensuring that workers across all sectors and geographies can continuously upskill and reskill. As artificial intelligence and automation reshape the job market, there's a growing recognition of the importance of uniquely human skills – creativity, emotional intelligence, complex problem-solving, and adaptability – that are less susceptible to automation (Deming, 2017). This shift underscores the need for educational systems that nurture these soft skills alongside technical competencies, challenging the traditional dichotomy between vocational and liberal arts education.

Reskilling at scale has become a global imperative, with estimates suggesting that up to 1 billion people must be reskilled by 2030 (World Economic Forum, 2020). This massive undertaking requires unprecedented collaboration between governments, educational institutions, and the private sector to create effective, accessible reskilling programs. Innovative financing models, such as income share agreements and skills-based hiring practices, are emerging to support this transition (Escobari et al., 2019). However, the scale of the challenge also necessitates rethinking our fundamental approaches to work and education. For instance, the concept of "T-shaped" professionals, who combine deep expertise in one area with broad knowledge across multiple domains, offers a promising model for adaptability in a rapidly changing job market (Conley et al., 2017).

Additionally, integrating sustainability principles and global citizenship education into all forms of learning is crucial for ensuring that economic growth aligns with broader societal and environmental goals. As we strive to achieve SDG 8, it's imperative to move beyond simply preparing workers for existing jobs and instead focus on cultivating the adaptability, creativity, and ethical reasoning needed to shape the future of work. This requires technological solutions and a profound cultural shift in how we value and approach learning throughout our lives and careers.

Measuring Progress: Beyond Traditional Metrics

The pursuit of SDG 8 demands a radical reimagining of how we measure progress, moving beyond traditional economic metrics to capture the multifaceted nature of decent work and sustainable growth. Wellbeing indicators have emerged as crucial tools for assessing the quality of work life, offering a more holistic view of labour market outcomes. These indicators include job satisfaction, work-life balance, workplace stress levels, sense of purpose, and opportunities for personal growth (OECD, 2017). For instance, the UK's Office for National Statistics has pioneered the inclusion of subjective wellbeing measures in national statistics, recognizing that GDP alone fails to capture the lived experiences of workers (Allin and Hand, 2017). Real-time labour market data, enabled by big data analytics and AI, is revolutionizing our ability to anticipate trends and intervene early in employment challenges. Online job posting analyses, social media sentiment tracking, and mobile phone mobility data provide unprecedented insights into labour market dynamics (Autor, 2019). However, the true potential of these metrics lies in their ability to describe current conditions and their capacity to inform proactive, targeted interventions to prevent unemployment crises before they occur.

The concept of ecological footprint in economic activities represents a crucial frontier in measuring progress towards SDG 8, challenging us to account for the true environmental costs of economic growth. True cost accounting methodologies, which incorporate externalities such as carbon emissions, water usage, and biodiversity loss into economic calculations, offer a more accurate picture of the sustainability of our economic activities (Trucost, 2013). This approach has profound implications for how we value different sectors and jobs, potentially reimagining what constitutes "productive" work in a carbon-constrained world. For example, ecosystem restoration or carbon sequestration might be valued more highly under this paradigm. Integrating these diverse metrics – wellbeing indicators, real-time labour data, and ecological footprint assessments – into a coherent framework for measuring progress towards SDG 8 presents both a challenge and an opportunity. It requires us to grapple with complex trade-offs and develop new, more sophisticated models of economic success. Initiatives like the Genuine Progress Indicator (GPI) and the OECD's Better Life Index offer promising starting points. Still, there is a need for even more integrated approaches that can capture the dynamic interplay between work quality, economic growth, and environmental sustainability (Costanza et al., 2014). As we strive to achieve SDG 8, embracing these new metrics and measurement paradigms is not just about better describing our current reality – it's about actively shaping a future where decent work and economic growth are in harmony with human well-being and planetary boundaries.

Policy Innovations for SDG 8

Policy innovations for SDG 8 are increasingly challenging traditional economic paradigms, offering bold new approaches to harmonize decent work, sustainable growth, and environmental stewardship. The four-day workweek has gained traction as a potential solution to enhance both productivity and well-being, with trials in Iceland showing promising results regarding reduced stress and maintained or improved productivity (Haraldsson and Kellam, 2021). The Green New Deal concept represents an ambitious policy framework that aims to align climate action with job creation and economic revitalization, potentially creating millions of new jobs in renewable energy and sustainable infrastructure (Galvin and Healy, 2020). Participatory budgeting pioneered in Porto Alegre, Brazil, and now adopted in thousands of cities worldwide, democratizes economic decision-making by involving citizens directly in allocating public resources, fostering transparency and community engagement (Wampler et al., 2018). Universal Basic Income (UBI) trials in various countries explore how unconditional cash transfers might provide a safety net that enables workers to pursue meaningful work or education without fear of destitution (Standing, 2017). The concept of a Job Guarantee, where the government acts as an employer of last resort, offers a radical approach to full employment and economic stability (Tcherneva, 2020). Doughnut Economics, proposed by Kate Raworth, provides a visual framework for economic development that balances essential human needs with planetary boundaries, challenging policymakers to operate within this "safe and just space" (Raworth, 2017). Lastly, the Well-being Economy Alliance advocates for a fundamental shift in economic policy priorities, urging governments to measure success by the wellbeing of people and the planet rather than GDP growth alone (Fioramonti et al., 2022).

These policy innovations, while promising, also present complex implementation challenges and potential unintended consequences. For instance, while beneficial for some sectors, the four-day workweek may be challenging to implement in industries requiring continuous operations. While offering a comprehensive approach to climate action and job creation, the Green New Deal faces political resistance and questions about its economic feasibility. Participatory budgeting, while enhancing democratic engagement, may struggle to address long-term, complex issues that require technical expertise. The key to leveraging these innovations for SDG 8 lies in their thoughtful integration and adaptation to local contexts. For example, combining the Green New Deal elements with participatory budgeting could lead to community-driven, environmentally sustainable economic development projects.

Similarly, implementing a UBI alongside investment in lifelong learning programs could provide workers with the financial security and skills needed to adapt to rapidly changing labour markets. As we strive to achieve SDG 8, fostering policy experimentation and rigorous evaluation of these innovative approaches is crucial. This requires political will and new forms of collaboration between governments, businesses, civil society, and academia. By embracing these multifaceted

policy innovations and critically examining their impacts, we can work towards an economic model that balances decent work, sustainable growth, and environmental stewardship.

The Role of Business in Advancing SDG 8

The role of business in advancing SDG 8 is undergoing a profound transformation, challenging traditional notions of corporate purpose and responsibility. Stakeholder capitalism, championed by influential bodies like the Business Roundtable and World Economic Forum, proposes a radical shift from shareholder primacy to a model that considers the interests of all stakeholders, including employees, communities, and the environment (Schwab and Vanham, 2021). This paradigm shift is exemplified by the rise of B Corporations and social enterprises, which legally embed social and environmental objectives into their corporate structures, demonstrating that profit and impact can be mutually reinforcing (Stubbs, 2017). These innovative business models are complemented by the emergence of supply chain transparency initiatives enabled by blockchain and AI technologies, revolutionizing how companies monitor and ensure decent work practices globally (Saber et al., 2019). However, scaling these approaches across the global economy is the true challenge. While pioneering companies like Patagonia and Unilever have made significant strides in stakeholder-oriented business practices, critics argue that these efforts may remain marginal without systemic changes in corporate governance and regulatory frameworks (Crane et al., 2014). The tension between short-term profit pressures and long-term sustainability goals continues to be a significant hurdle in realizing the full potential of business contributions to SDG 8.

To truly leverage the power of business in advancing decent work and sustainable economic growth, we need to move beyond isolated corporate initiatives to create an ecosystem that fundamentally reorients economic incentives. This could involve policy innovations such as adjusting fiduciary duty laws to explicitly include stakeholder interests, implementing mandatory human rights due diligence legislation for global supply chains, or creating new corporate forms that prioritize social and environmental outcomes alongside financial returns (Mayer, 2018). Moreover, redefining success metrics for businesses is crucial. The emergence of integrated reporting frameworks and impact measurement methodologies offers promising avenues for quantifying and valuing companies' contributions to SDG 8 and other sustainable development goals (Adams, 2017). However, these efforts must be coupled with changes in investment practices, shifting capital allocation towards companies that demonstrate genuine commitment to decent work and sustainable growth. The role of consumers and civil society in driving this transformation cannot be underestimated. As public awareness of corporate behaviour increases, businesses face growing pressure to align their practices with societal values. This presents both a challenge and an opportunity for companies to innovate to create shared value for all stakeholders while addressing pressing global challenges (Porter and Kramer, 2011). As we strive to achieve SDG 8, the business sector must evolve from being part of the problem to becoming a central driver of solutions, requiring a fundamental reimagining of the purpose and practice of business in the 21st century.

Challenges and Controversies

The pursuit of SDG 8 faces numerous challenges and controversies that highlight the complex interplay between decent work and economic growth in our globalized economy. While providing crucial livelihoods for millions, the informal economy often operates outside labour protections, perpetuating exploitation and hindering sustainable development (Chen, 2012). Potential solutions include gradual formalization strategies, such as simplified registration processes and targeted social protection schemes. Migration presents another contentious issue, with migrant workers often facing discrimination and poor working conditions despite their significant economic contributions (ILO, 2021). Addressing this requires comprehensive policy frameworks that protect migrant rights while recognizing their economic value, such as bilateral labour agreements and portable social security benefits. The rise of tech monopolies has sparked debates about their role in driving innovation and potentially stifling competition and decent work opportunities (Khan, 2017). Regulatory approaches like antitrust enforcement and data portability requirements could help balance innovation with fair competition. Climate change and the transition to a green economy present opportunities for new decent jobs and challenges for workers in carbon-intensive industries (ILO, 2018). Just transition strategies, including reskilling programs and social dialogue, are crucial for managing this shift equitably. Lastly, while offering flexibility, the growing gig economy often lacks traditional labour protections, raising questions about the future of work (Wood et al., 2019). Innovative policy solutions, such as portable benefits systems and new employment categories, could help address these concerns.

These challenges and controversies underscore the need for nuanced, context-specific approaches to achieving SDG 8. The informal economy, for instance, requires strategies that recognize its role in providing livelihoods while gradually improving working conditions and social protections. This could involve tiered regulatory approaches that incentivize formalization without abruptly disrupting economic activities (Meagher, 2013). For migration, multilateral cooperation is essential to develop global standards for migrant workers' rights and create legal pathways for labour mobility that benefit both origin and destination countries (Ruhs, 2013). Addressing the power of tech monopolies may require reimagining antitrust laws for the digital age, considering factors like data accumulation and network effects alongside traditional market share metrics (Wu, 2018). The green transition necessitates job creation in sustainable sectors and proactive measures to support affected communities and workers, potentially through green industrial policies and universal basic services (Mazzucato, 2021). For the gig economy, new forms of collective organization and social protection, such as platform cooperatives and portable benefits systems, could help ensure decent work without stifling innovation (Scholz, 2016). Addressing these challenges requires a holistic approach that recognizes the interconnectedness of economic, social, and environmental factors. It demands innovative policy-making, cross-sector collaboration, and a willingness to reimagine our economic systems to align with decent work and sustainable growth principles.

SDG 8 in Crisis: Lessons from Global Shocks

Global shocks such as the COVID-19 pandemic, accelerating climate change, and geopolitical tensions have exposed the fragility of our current economic systems and the urgent need to reimagine SDG 8 in the context of crisis resilience. The pandemic has forced a radical rethinking of "essential work," highlighting the often precarious conditions of workers who keep societies functioning during crises (Blundell et al., 2020). This reevaluation challenges us to reconsider how we define, compensate, and protect essential workers, potentially leading to new labour policies and social contracts. Climate change adaptation is reshaping the concept of decent work, necessitating the development of green jobs and skills to build resilient communities and economies (ILO, 2018). While offering new employment opportunities, this transition also risks exacerbating inequalities if not managed justly, highlighting the need for comprehensive "just transition" strategies. While often used as a geopolitical tool, economic sanctions have profound implications for decent work and economic growth in targeted countries, raising complex ethical questions about the balance between political objectives and human welfare (Neuenkirch and Neumeier, 2016). These crises underscore the interconnectedness of global economies and the need for international cooperation in addressing SDG 8 challenges.

The lessons from these global shocks demand a fundamental recalibration of how we approach SDG 8, moving beyond reactive measures to proactive, systemic changes. One innovative approach is the development of "economic resilience indicators" that measure a society's ability to maintain decent work and sustainable growth in the face of crises (Martin and Sunley, 2015). These could include workforce adaptability, social safety net robustness, and economic diversification metrics. Another frontier is the integration of disaster risk reduction strategies into economic planning, ensuring that investments in infrastructure and industries consider long-term resilience to climate change and other potential shocks (UNDRR, 2019). The concept of "crisis-proof social protection systems" is gaining traction, proposing flexible, adaptive welfare mechanisms that can rapidly scale up during emergencies to protect livelihoods (Gentilini et al., 2020). In international relations, there's growing interest in developing more targeted, "smart" sanctions that minimize collateral damage to innocent workers and vulnerable populations. As we strive to achieve SDG 8 in an increasingly unpredictable world, fostering a culture of anticipatory governance and adaptive management in both the public and private sectors is crucial. This requires technological innovations, new forms of social dialogue, and participatory decision-making to ensure that responses to crises are equitable and aligned with decent work and sustainable growth principles.

Case Studies and Lessons

The economic transformations of Rwanda and Singapore offer compelling case studies for African nations striving to achieve SDG 8 while highlighting the complexities of replicating development models across diverse contexts. Rwanda's remarkable recovery from the 1994 genocide to become one of Africa's fastest-growing economies has been attributed to strong governance, strategic investments in education and technology, and a focus on gender equality (Booth and Golooba-Mutebi, 2012). Similarly, Singapore's rise from a poor island nation to a global economic powerhouse provides lessons in leveraging human capital, attracting foreign investment, and fostering a business-friendly environment (Tan, 2018). However, critics argue that both models have come at the cost of political freedoms and raise questions about the sustainability of top-down development approaches (Reyntjens, 2013).

The economic recovery strategies of Nigeria and Ghana in pursuit of SDG 8 reveal both similarities and stark contrasts, reflecting their unique challenges and resources. Nigeria's approach has centred on its Economic Sustainability Plan, focusing on job creation, infrastructure investment, and boosting local production (Nigerian Economic Summit Group, 2021). However, the country's heavy reliance on oil revenues has hampered its ability to diversify and create sustainable employment opportunities. In contrast, Ghana has implemented the Ghana CARES program, emphasizing digitalization, support for SMEs, and skills development (Ministry of Finance, Ghana, 2020). Ghana's strategy appears more aligned with future-oriented sectors, potentially positioning it better for long-term sustainable growth. Both countries have initiated cash transfer programs to support vulnerable populations, but Ghana's more robust digital infrastructure has enabled more efficient distribution (Lowe et al., 2021). Both nations could benefit from deeper regional integration through the African Continental Free Trade Area, leveraging their complementary strengths. Nigeria's vast market and Ghana's relative political stability could create synergies for inclusive growth.

Additionally, both countries should prioritize green economy transitions, tapping into renewable energy potentials to create decent jobs while addressing climate challenges. Innovative financing mechanisms, such as green bonds and impact investing, could accelerate this transition (Boso et al., 2021). To achieve SDG 8, both nations must address systemic issues like corruption and inequality, perhaps through blockchain-based transparency initiatives and more progressive taxation structures. Ultimately, success will depend on fostering a culture of innovation, strengthening institutions, and ensuring economic growth translates into improved livelihoods for all citizens.

Real interventions addressing poor economic situations in African countries have yielded mixed results, challenging policymakers to innovate beyond traditional development paradigms. The rise of mobile money systems, pioneered by M-Pesa in Kenya, has revolutionized financial inclusion and entrepreneurship across the continent (Suri and Jack, 2016). Agricultural transformation initiatives, such as Ethiopia's Agricultural Transformation Agency, have shown promise in

boosting productivity and rural incomes (Bachewe et al., 2018). However, these successes are often localized and face challenges in scaling up. While delivering rapid infrastructure development, the controversial Chinese investment model in Africa has raised concerns about debt sustainability and labour practices (Brautigam, 2020). As African countries strive to achieve SDG 8, there's a growing recognition of the need to move beyond mimicking external models to developing homegrown solutions that leverage local knowledge and resources. This could involve reimagining education systems to better align with local economic needs, fostering regional integration to create larger markets, and embracing the informal sector as a source of innovation rather than a problem to be solved (Fox and Gandhi, 2021). The key lies in creating adaptive, resilient economic systems that provide decent work opportunities while navigating global challenges like climate change and technological disruption. This requires policy innovation and a fundamental shift in how we measure and value economic progress, moving beyond GDP to more holistic indicators that capture well-being, sustainability, and inclusivity.

The Way Forward: A Call to Action

The path to achieving SDG 8 demands a multi-level transformation that challenges individuals, organizations, and policymakers to reimagine their roles in fostering decent work and sustainable economic growth. At the individual level, this calls for a radical shift in conceptualizing career paths and consumption patterns. The traditional linear career model gives way to more fluid, multi-faceted approaches emphasizing lifelong learning and adaptability (Arthur and Rousseau, 2001). This shift is complemented by the rise of "conscious consumerism," where individuals increasingly consider the social and environmental impacts of their purchasing decisions (Carrington et al., 2014). At the organizational level, fostering cultures of dignity and innovation is crucial. Companies like Patagonia and Eileen Fisher are pioneering approaches prioritizing worker well-being and environmental stewardship alongside profitability, demonstrating that ethical business practices can drive long-term success (Chouinard and Stanley, 2012). The emergence of holacracy and other non-hierarchical organizational structures offers promising avenues for enhancing worker autonomy and creativity (Bernstein et al., 2016). At the policy level, bold experiments are needed to forge a new economic paradigm. Universal Basic Income trials in various countries explore how unconditional cash transfers might provide a safety net that enables workers to pursue meaningful work or education without fear of destitution (Standing, 2017). While controversial, the concept of a Green New Deal offers a comprehensive framework for aligning climate action with job creation and economic revitalization (Galvin and Healy, 2020).

However, these transformations face significant challenges and require fundamentally rethinking our economic systems and societal values. At the individual level, the shift towards more flexible career paths and conscious consumption may exacerbate inequalities if not accompanied by robust social safety nets and education systems that support lifelong learning. Organizations grappling with transitioning to more ethical and innovative cultures often face resistance from entrenched interests and short-term profit pressures. While promising, policy experiments like UBI and the

Green New Deal raise complex questions about fiscal sustainability and potential unintended consequences. The key to overcoming these challenges lies in fostering a culture of experimentation, learning, and collaboration across all levels of society. This could involve creating "policy sandboxes" where new economic models can be tested on a small scale before broader implementation (Aitken et al., 2020). It also requires new forms of social dialogue that unite diverse stakeholders to co-create solutions. Educational institutions have a crucial role in nurturing the skills and mindsets needed for this new economy, potentially requiring a radical overhaul of curricula to emphasize creativity, systems thinking, and ethical reasoning (Wiek et al., 2011). As we strive to achieve SDG 8, we must recognize that there is no one-size-fits-all solution. Instead, we need diverse approaches tailored to local contexts but united by a shared commitment to creating an economy that works for all people and the planet. This call to action challenges us to critically examine our roles in the current system and take bold steps towards creating a more just, sustainable, and prosperous world for all.

5.0. Conclusion

SDG 8, focusing on decent work and economic growth, stands as a pivotal catalyst for global transformation, challenging us to reimagine the foundations of our economic systems and societal structures. This goal's transformative potential lies in its ability to address interconnected global challenges, from inequality and climate change to technological disruption and geopolitical tensions. By promoting decent work, SDG 8 aims to improve individual livelihoods, foster social cohesion, reduce inequality, and drive sustainable economic development (ILO, 2019). The goal's emphasis on sustainable economic growth challenges the conventional paradigm of unfettered GDP expansion, pushing us to consider alternative measures of progress that account for social and environmental well-being (Stiglitz et al., 2018). As we grapple with the complexities of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, SDG 8 compels us to envision new forms of work and economic organization that harness technological advancements for the benefit of all, not just a privileged few (Schwab, 2017). The goal's holistic approach, recognizing the interdependence of economic, social, and environmental factors, positions it as a linchpin in the broader sustainable development agenda, with the potential to catalyze progress across multiple SDGs simultaneously.

Moreover, SDG 8 can serve as a catalyst for global transformation in at least seven distinct ways. First, it challenges us to redefine the purpose of economic activity, moving beyond a singular focus on GDP growth to a more holistic understanding of human and environmental well-being (Raworth, 2017). Second, it calls for developing new economic metrics and indicators that better capture the quality of work, income distribution, and economic activities' ecological footprint (Costanza et al., 2014). Third, it demands a rethinking of corporate governance, shifting from shareholder primacy to stakeholder capitalism that considers the interests of workers, communities, and the environment (Mayer, 2018). Fourth, it spurs the emergence of innovative business models, such as B Corporations and social enterprises, that blend profit and purpose

(Stubbs, 2017). Fifth, it creates new policy frameworks, including universal basic income, green new deals, and participatory budgeting, that foster inclusive and sustainable economic development (Standing, 2017; Galvin and Healy, 2020). Sixth, it requires a fundamental transformation of education and skills development systems to equip workers with the adaptability and competencies needed for the jobs of the future (World Economic Forum, 2020). Seventh, it calls for a global rebalancing of power dynamics and a more equitable distribution of the benefits of economic growth, addressing historical inequities and ensuring that no one is left behind (Piketty, 2014).

As we navigate an increasingly complex and interconnected world, SDG 8 serves as a beacon, guiding us towards a future where economic prosperity is synonymous with social justice and environmental stewardship. The path forward is not without challenges, but by embracing the transformative vision of SDG 8 and fostering unprecedented collaboration across sectors and borders, we can create a more sustainable, equitable, and prosperous world for all.

References

- Acemoglu, D., and Restrepo, P. (2019). Automation and New Tasks: How Technology Displaces and Reinstates Labor. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 33(2), 3-30.
- Adams, C. A. (2017). The Sustainable Development Goals, integrated thinking and the integrated report. IIRC and ICAS.
- Adedeji, O. S., Du, H., and Opoku-Afari, M. (2018). Inclusive growth framework. IMF Working Paper.
- Aitken, M., Porteous, C., and Creamer, E. (2020). Sandbox reconfigured: A critical analysis of social innovation policy in the UK. *Innovation: The European Journal of Social Science Research*, 33(4), 409-428.
- Aksoy, C. G., Ozcan, B., and Philipp, J. (2022). Robots and the gender pay gap in Europe. *European Economic Review*, 146, 104114.
- Allin, P., and Hand, D. J. (2017). New statistics for old?—measuring the wellbeing of the UK. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society)*, 180(1), 3-43.
- Andersen, T. M., Holmström, B., Honkapohja, S., Korkman, S., Söderström, H. T., and Vartiainen, J. (2007). The Nordic Model: Embracing globalization and sharing risks. The Research Institute of the Finnish Economy (ETLA).
- Anner, M. (2020). Squeezing workers' rights in global supply chains: purchasing practices in the Bangladesh garment export sector in comparative perspective. *Review of International Political Economy*, 27(2), 320-347.
- Arthur, M. B., and Rousseau, D. M. (2001). *The boundaryless career: A new employment principle for a new organizational era*. Oxford University Press.
- Autor, D. H. (2015). Why Are There Still So Many Jobs? The History and Future of Workplace Automation. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 29(3), 3-30.
- Autor, D. (2019). Work of the past, work of the future. *AEA Papers and Proceedings*, 109, 1-32.
- Bachewe, F. N., Berhane, G., Minten, B., and Taffesse, A. S. (2018). Agricultural transformation in Africa? Assessing the evidence in Ethiopia. *World Development*, 105, 286-298.

- Beaunoyer, E., Dupéré, S., and Guitton, M. J. (2020). COVID-19 and digital inequalities: Reciprocal impacts and mitigation strategies. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 111, 106424.
- Bernstein, E., Bunch, J., Canner, N., and Lee, M. (2016). Beyond the holacracy hype. *Harvard Business Review*, 94(7-8), 38-49.
- Blundell, R., Costa Dias, M., Joyce, R., and Xu, X. (2020). COVID-19 and Inequalities. *Fiscal Studies*, 41(2), 291-319.
- Booth, D., and Golooba-Mutebi, F. (2012). Developmental patrimonialism? The case of Rwanda. *African Affairs*, 111(444), 379-403.
- Brautigam, D. (2020). A critical look at Chinese 'debt-trap diplomacy': the rise of a meme. *Area Development and Policy*, 5(1), 1-14.
- Boso, N., Adeleye, I., Ibeh, K., and Chizema, A. (2021). The internationalization of African firms: Opportunities, challenges, and risks. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 63(5), 551-561.
- Brynjolfsson, E., and McAfee, A. (2014). *The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies*. W. W. Norton and Company.
- Bugg-Levine, A., and Emerson, J. (2011). *Impact Investing: Transforming How We Make Money While Making a Difference*. Jossey-Bass.
- Carrington, M. J., Neville, B. A., and Whitwell, G. J. (2014). Lost in translation: Exploring the ethical consumer intention–behavior gap. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(1), 2759-2767.
- Chen, M. A. (2012). The informal economy: Definitions, theories and policies. WIEGO Working Paper No. 1. *Women in Informal Employment: Globalizing and Organizing*.
- Chouinard, Y., and Stanley, V. (2012). *The responsible company: What we've learned from Patagonia's first 40 years*. Patagonia Books.
- Conley, S. N., Foley, R. W., Gorman, M. E., Denham, J., and Coleman, K. (2017). Acquisition of T-shaped expertise: an exploratory study. *Social Epistemology*, 31(2), 165-183.
- Costanza, R., Kubiszewski, I., Giovannini, E., Lovins, H., McGlade, J., Pickett, K. E., ... and Wilkinson, R. (2014). Development: Time to leave GDP behind. *Nature*, 505(7483), 283-285.

Crane, A., Palazzo, G., Spence, L. J., and Matten, D. (2014). Contesting the value of "creating shared value". *California Management Review*, 56(2), 130-153.

Dacin, M. T., Dacin, P. A., and Tracey, P. (2011). Social Entrepreneurship: A Critique and Future Directions. *Organization Science*, 22(5), 1203-1213.

De Filippi, P., and Wright, A. (2018). *Blockchain and the Law: The Rule of Code*. Harvard University Press.

De Stefano, V. (2016). The Rise of the "Just-in-Time Workforce": On-Demand Work, Crowdwork and Labour Protection in the "Gig-Economy". *Comparative Labor Law and Policy Journal*, 37(3), 471-504.

Deming, D. J. (2017). The growing importance of social skills in the labor market. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 132(4), 1593-1640.

Dreger, C. (2016). Labour Markets, Institutions and Inequality: Building Just Societies in the 21st Century. *Eur J Dev Res* 28, 515–519. <https://doi.org/10.1057/ejdr.2015.69>

Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2013). *Towards the Circular Economy: Economic and Business Rationale for an Accelerated Transition*.

Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2019). *Completing the Picture: How the Circular Economy Tackles Climate Change*. Ellen MacArthur Foundation.

Escobari, M., Seyal, I., and Meaney, M. (2019). *Realism about Reskilling: Upgrading the Career Prospects of America's Low-Wage Workers*. Brookings Institution.

Eurofound. (2020). *Right to disconnect in the 27 EU Member States*. Publications Office of the European Union.

Fioramonti, L., Coscieme, L., and Trebeck, K. (2022). The wellbeing economy: a policy paradigm for global challenges. *Global Policy*, 13(2), 333-339.

Fox, L., and Gandhi, D. (2021). *Youth employment in sub-Saharan Africa: Progress and prospects*. Brookings Institution.

Friedman, E., and Kuruvilla, S. (2015). Experimentation and decentralization in China's labor relations. *Human Relations*, 68(2), 181-195.

Galvin, R., and Healy, N. (2020). The Green New Deal in the United States: What it is and how to pay for it. *Energy Research and Social Science*, 67, 101529.

Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M., and Hultink, E. J. (2017). The Circular Economy—A new sustainability paradigm?. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 143, 757-768.

Gentilini, U., Almenfi, M., and Dale, P. (2020). Social Protection and Jobs Responses to COVID-19: A Real-Time Review of Country Measures. World Bank.

Govindarajan, V., and Trimble, C. (2012). *Reverse Innovation: Create Far From Home, Win Everywhere*. Harvard Business Review Press.

Haraldsson, G. D., and Kellam, J. (2021). *Going Public: Iceland's Journey to a Shorter Working Week*. Autonomy.

Hoynes, H., and Rothstein, J. (2019). Universal Basic Income in the United States and Advanced Countries. *Annual Review of Economics*, 11, 929-958.

ILO. (2018). *World Employment and Social Outlook 2018: Greening with jobs*. International Labour Office.

ILO. (2019). *Time to Act for SDG 8: Integrating Decent Work, Sustained Growth and Environmental Integrity*. International Labour Organization.

ILO. (2021). *ILO Global Estimates on International Migrant Workers: Results and Methodology*. International Labour Office.

ILO. (2023). *World Employment and Social Outlook: Trends 2023*. International Labour Organization.

Kallis, G., Kostakis, V., Lange, S., Muraca, B., Paulson, S., and Schmelzer, M. (2018). Research on degrowth. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 43, 291-316.

Kässi, O., and Lehdonvirta, V. (2018). Online Labour Index: Measuring the Online Gig Economy for Policy and Research. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 137, 241-248.

Kato, S., Galán-Muros, V., and Weko, T. (2020). The emergence of alternative credentials. *OECD Education Working Papers*, No. 216. OECD Publishing.

Khan, L. M. (2017). Amazon's antitrust paradox. *Yale Law Journal*, 126(3), 710-805.

Lowe, M., McCord, A., and Beazley, R. (2021). National cash transfer responses to COVID-19: Operational lessons learned for social protection system-strengthening and future shocks. ODI Working Paper.

Martin, R., and Sunley, P. (2015). On the notion of regional economic resilience: conceptualization and explanation. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 15(1), 1-42.

Mayer, C. (2018). *Prosperity: Better business makes the greater good*. Oxford University Press.
Mazzucato, M. (2021). *Mission Economy: A Moonshot Guide to Changing Capitalism*. Harper Business.

Meadows, D. H., Randers, J., and Meadows, D. L. (2004). *Limits to Growth: The 30-Year Update*. Chelsea Green Publishing.

Meagher, K. (2013). Unlocking the informal economy: A literature review on linkages between formal and informal economies in developing countries. WIEGO Working Paper No. 27.

Ministry of Finance, Ghana. (2020). *Ghana COVID-19 Alleviation and Revitalization of Enterprises Support (Ghana CARES) Programme*. Government of Ghana.

Nigerian Economic Summit Group. (2021). *Macroeconomic Outlook Update: Economic Recovery in a Pandemic*. NESG Research.

Neuenkirch, M., and Neumeier, F. (2016). The impact of US sanctions on poverty. *Journal of Development Economics*, 121, 110-119.

OECD. (2018). *The Framework for Policy Action on Inclusive Growth*. OECD Publishing.

OECD. (2017). *OECD Guidelines on Measuring the Quality of the Working Environment*. OECD Publishing.

OECD. (2020). *OECD Better Life Index Raw Data 2020*. OECD Better Life Index. OECD.

OECD. (2020). *Continuous Learning in Working Life in Finland. Getting Skills Right*. OECD Publishing.

OECD. (2020). *OECD Economic Surveys: Costa Rica 2020*. OECD Publishing.

OECD. (2022). *Employment Outlook 2022: Building Back More Inclusive Labour Markets*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

O'Neill, D. W., Fanning, A. L., Lamb, W. F., and Steinberger, J. K. (2018). A good life for all within planetary boundaries. *Nature Sustainability*, 1(2), 88-95.

Osei, R. D., Asem, F., and Domfe, G. (2021). The effects of the ECF programme on the Ghanaian economy. Institute of Statistical, Social and Economic Research.

Piketty, T. (2014). *Capital in the Twenty-First Century*. Harvard University Press.

Porter, M. E., and Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(1/2), 62-77.

Radjou, N., and Prabhu, J. (2015). *Frugal Innovation: How to Do More with Less*. The Economist Books.

Raworth, K. (2017). *Doughnut Economics: Seven Ways to Think Like a 21st-Century Economist*. Chelsea Green Publishing.

Reyntjens, F. (2013). *Political governance in post-genocide Rwanda*. Cambridge University Press.

Ruhs, M. (2013). *The Price of Rights: Regulating International Labor Migration*. Princeton University Press.

Saberi, S., Kouhizadeh, M., Sarkis, J., and Shen, L. (2019). Blockchain technology and its relationships to sustainable supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Research*, 57(7), 2117-2135.

Scholz, T. (2016). *Platform Cooperativism: Challenging the Corporate Sharing Economy*. Rosa Luxemburg Stiftung, New York Office.

Schor, J. B. (2020). *After the Gig: How the Sharing Economy Got Hijacked and How to Win It Back*. University of California Press.

Schwab, K. (2016). *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. World Economic Forum.

Schwab, K. (2017). *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. Currency.

Schwab, K., and Vanham, P. (2021). *Stakeholder capitalism: A global economy that works for progress, people and planet*. John Wiley and Sons.

Secunda, P. M. (2019). The Employee Right to Disconnect. *Notre Dame Journal of International and Comparative Law*, 9(1), 1-39.

Standing, G. (2017). *Basic income: And how we can make it happen*. Pelican.

Standing, G. (2011). *The Precariat: The New Dangerous Class*. Bloomsbury Academic.

Standing, G. (2017). *Basic income: And how we can make it happen*. Pelican.

Stiglitz, J. E., Fitoussi, J. P., and Durand, M. (2018). *Beyond GDP: Measuring What Counts for Economic and Social Performance*. OECD Publishing.

Stubbs, W. (2017). Sustainable entrepreneurship and B corps. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 26(3), 331-344.

Sundararajan, A. (2016). *The Sharing Economy: The End of Employment and the Rise of Crowd-Based Capitalism*. MIT Press.

Suri, T., and Jack, W. (2016). The long-run poverty and gender impacts of mobile money. *Science*, 354(6317), 1288-1292.

Tan, K. S. (2018). Singapore's economy: A story of transformation. In *Singapore's Economic Development: Retrospection and Reflections* (pp. 15-38). World Scientific.

Tcherneva, P. R. (2020). *The case for a job guarantee*. John Wiley and Sons.

Trucost. (2013). *Natural Capital at Risk: The Top 100 Externalities of Business*. TEEB for Business Coalition.

UNDRR. (2019). *Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction*. United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction.

Wampler, B., McNulty, S., and Touchton, M. (2018). *Participatory budgeting: Spreading across the globe*. Transparency and Accountability Initiative.

Wiek, A., Withycombe, L., and Redman, C. L. (2011). Key competencies in sustainability: a reference framework for academic program development. *Sustainability Science*, 6(2), 203-218.

Wood, A. J., Graham, M., Lehdonvirta, V., and Hjorth, I. (2019). Good gig, bad gig: Autonomy and algorithmic control in the global gig economy. *Work, Employment and Society*, 33(1), 56-75.

World Economic Forum. (2020). The Future of Jobs Report 2020. World Economic Forum.

Wu, T. (2018). The Curse of Bigness: Antitrust in the New Gilded Age. Columbia Global Reports.